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Abstract—Analysis of multitemporal remote sensing imagery offers a reliable and cost-effective means for monitoring crops on a broad-scale and provides consistent temporal measurements. Fractal geometry has been used as a quantitative description of spatial complexity of remote sensing images. Yet, corn field of spatial irregularity alters the fractal dimension of the landscape, which shall be suppressed in the estimation. In this paper, we propose a method for computing fractal dimension from irregular region of interests that minimizes the contribution from 2-D spatial irregularity. Our method was evaluated with normalized difference vegetation index products derived from moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer and satellite pour l’observation de la terre VEGATION sensors from three states in the U.S. The experimental results using the time series demonstrated that our proposed fractal dimension estimation method exhibited great consistency and invariance to the change of image spectral characteristics, spatial resolution, and the degree of pixel mixing. In contrast to entropy and variance, the spectral characteristics of different imaging devices exhibited lower impact to the fractal dimension, which also implies its scale invariance. With respect to the detection rate of the first peak, fractal dimension achieved the best consistency. The proposed method for computing fractal dimension provides a critical and reliable measure for studying phenological patterns.

Index Terms—Fractal dimension, normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), texture.

I. INTRODUCTION

PHENOLOGY varies over the life cycle of crops [1], which leads to diverse phenological patterns in timing, frequency, duration, and degree of synchrony [2]. Analysis of multitemporal remote sensing imagery offers a reliable and cost-effective means for monitoring crops on a broad scale and provides consistent temporal measurements [3]. Detecting the dynamics of phenology activities is a prerequisite toward phenology-related applications [4], and characterizing crop phenology is the key.

Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) provides a perspective of biotic activities [5] and has been widely employed in phenology-related research [6], [7]. Conventional phenology detection approaches using multitemporal satellite data mainly take spectral responses into account [8], [9]. However, NDVI-based phenology studies are confronted by the problems of spectral characteristics, spatial resolution, temporal coverage, mixed pixels, etc., which affect the consistency of phenology characterization. To quantitatively characterize phenology dynamics, descriptive features from NDVI data that are scale invariant and robust to irregular spatial region need to be studied.

Fractals are patterns that are self-similar across different scales. Fractal dimension [10] provides a metric to evaluate the complexity of the repeating structures in fractal patterns. In contrast to the conventional feature descriptors such as color histogram, cooccurrence matrix, and entropy, fractal dimension gives a quantitative evaluation that is independent from the scale, which makes it a highly promising metric for the evaluation of remote sensing imagery that varies in resolution. Corn fields at different progress stages contribute to the variation in NDVI [8]. Soil background effects and density of corn leaf canopy affect the roughness of an NDVI image. Each such image corresponds to a data point in the fractal dimension time series, which follows a bimodal distribution throughout the life cycle of corn crops. The two peaks in the time series correspond to the emerged and harvested stages [11]. The variation of the spatial pattern in an NDVI image provides a critical and reliable perspective for analyzing the growth of crops.

Research has been conducted to apply fractals to various real-world problems, e.g., image classification [12], spatial scaling correction [13], urban landscapes [14], and forested landscapes [15]. Fractal analysis has been applied to multiresolution remote sensing images for landscape characterization [16]–[19]. Amri et al. [20] used fractals to quantitatively characterize NDVI dynamics. Liang et al. [14] evaluated the effectiveness of diverse fractal algorithms in the characterization of urban landscapes based on satellite images taken by diverse sensors. Al-Hamdan et al. [15] investigated the applications of fractal measurements to different spatial, temporal, and spectral resolutions of remote sensing data acquired from different sensors. However, in aspect of corn phenology characterization, corn fields are usually depicted in an irregular region of interest (ROI) in an image, whereas fractal dimension estimations are often performed with regular ROIs, e.g., a square region in an image. The fractal dimension encapsulates the irregularity in a 3-D space. Yet, components from spatial irregularity alter the fractal dimension of...
the landscape and shall be suppressed in the estimation. Hence, the scalability of fractals in irregular ROIs requires further investigation.

There exist indirect strategies for estimating the fractal dimension of irregular ROIs, e.g., partially cutting method [21], which segments a regular sample from the whole ROI, then estimates the fractal dimension following the conventional fractal dimension estimation procedure. The mean local fractal dimension method [22] estimates each pixel in the whole ROI with a local fractal dimension estimation algorithm, then calculates the mean fractal dimension of the ROI. However, the accuracy of these strategies will be affected by the nonuniform distribution of corn pixels in the remote sensing imagery, even makes the estimation inestimably. In addition, Klinkenberg [23] tried using the dimensionality reduction technique to estimate the fractal dimension of 3-D surface. The basic principle is that higher dimensional spaces can be built up systematically as direct products of fractals in lower dimensional spaces. While in Klinkenberg’s approach, the fractal dimension of surface was estimated only by calculating the fractal dimension of contours extracted from the surface, and then, increasing by 1 to account for the difference. The limitation is that the fractal dimension of the contours or profiles in a certain position of surface equals not to other positions for a nature surface.

Image resolution and scale greatly affect the appearance of ground objects in remote-sensed imagery. Conventional texture metrics require a scale to compute the feature descriptor, e.g., entropy, which makes the application of these methods highly sensitive to the choice of the scale parameter, which is usually determined empirically. We hypothesize that by circumventing the spatial and scale constraints, fractal dimension serves as a highly competitive feature descriptor for describing complex ground patterns, and hence, is appropriate for phenology analysis. In this paper, we propose a method for computing the fractal dimension of regions with irregular ROIs to minimize the impact of pixel mixing issue. The fractal analysis computes the fractal dimension of the corn fields by minimizing the impact from irregular ROIs.

A. Cropland Masking

Cropland masking employs an image mask to exclude the undesired noncorn regions in the image. Due to the inconsistency in the resolution of the mask and the image as well as the misalignment between them that induces a mixture of different land covers, a purity ratio is devised to measure the dominance of the corn components, and hence, decide if a pixel is considered a corn pixel and shall be included in fractal dimension calculation.

The image mask used in cropland masking is created either via a segmentation process or using an existing data product such as cropland data layer (CDL) [24] that is produced annually by the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). However, the spatial resolution of such a data product differs from year to year. For CDL data between 2006 and 2009, the spatial resolution is of 56 m, and that of the other years is 30 m. In addition, the scale difference to the NDVI imagery and misalignment also poses issues. We use a purity ratio to map an NDVI image to corn field segments for fractal analysis.

The purity ratio (denoted by \( \rho \)) for each NDVI pixel \( N(a, b) \) is calculated with respect to the geo-registered cropland mask

\[
\rho(a, b) = \frac{C(a, b)}{|N(a, b)|} \tag{1}
\]

where \( C(a, b) \) is the size of corn field in an NDVI pixel \( N(a, b) \), and \(|N(a, b)|\) denotes the spatial coverage of this NDVI pixel (e.g., \(|N(a, b)| = 1 \text{ km}^2 \) for a satellite pour l’observation de la terre VEGETATION (SPOT-VGT) image). The cropland size of an NDVI pixel is less than or equal to the NDVI pixel size, i.e., \( C(a, b) \leq |N(a, b)| \). In a cropland mask \( M \), pixels of crops and noncrops are represented with ones and zeros, respectively. Fig. 2 illustrates the georelation of cropland mask and the NDVI image. The top layer depicts an NDVI image and the bottom layer shows the cropland mask and the projected georegistered NDVI image, which is outlined with dash line. Due to the misalignment between two datasets, partial overlapping occurs as shown in Fig. 2, i.e., part of a cropland mask pixel is within an NDVI pixel by an offset of \( \Delta x \geq 0 \) and \( \Delta y \geq 0 \). Hence,
Fig. 2. Cropland masking and geoalignment. The top layer depicts an NDVI image and the bottom layer shows the cropland mask and the projected georegistered NDVI image (in dash line).

**TABLE I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thresholds</th>
<th>NDVI Pixel Category</th>
<th>Image Modality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≈0%</td>
<td>corn-presence pixels</td>
<td>MODIS, SPOT-VGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>partial pixel mixing</td>
<td>MODIS, SPOT-VGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≈100%</td>
<td>corn-dominated pixels</td>
<td>MODIS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
C(a, b) = \sum_{a+\Delta x \leq |a|} \sum_{b+\Delta y \leq |b|} M(x, y) \tag{2}
\]

where \(|\cdot|\) gives the spatial size of a pixel in the respective dimension. When applying the purity ratio, a threshold is used to decide if an NDVI pixel is considered as a corn pixel. Assuming the threshold is 50%, the example depicted in Fig. 2 consists of three corn field pixels and one noncorn field pixel in the NDVI image. In general, increasing the threshold allows less amount of noncorn components in a NDVI pixel.

To understand the effect of using different purity ratios to the fractal dimension computation, our evaluation used three thresholds as listed in Table I. Partial pixel mixing and two extreme cases (corn-dominated pixel and corn-presence pixel) are considered. When the corn crop occupies an entire NDVI pixel (i.e., corn-dominated pixel), the NDVI of this pixel reflects the spectrum of corn. In case of partial pixel mixing, the majority of an NDVI pixel represents the spectrum reflection of corn. When corn weakly appears in the NDVI pixel (i.e., corn-presence pixel), an NDVI pixel allows contribution from the bare ground and other vegetation.

Three thresholds were set for the moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS) NDVI image, i.e., corn-presence pixel \((\rho \approx 0\%)\), partial pixel mixing \((\rho \approx 50\%)\), and corn-dominated pixel \((\rho \approx 100\%)\); and partial pixel mixing and corn-presence pixels were set for SPOT-VGT. Because only a few corn pixels remain in the masked image, the threshold of corn-dominated pixels was not used in the process of SPOT-VGT imagery [an example is shown in Fig. 5(f)].

\[
D(S \times S') = D(S) + D(S')
\]

where \(S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}\) and \(S' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}\) and \(D(\cdot)\) denotes the box-counting dimension of a manifold spanning a space \(R \times R'\). Hence, the fractal dimension of an irregular manifold \(R\) is the summation of the fractal dimensions of its subspaces

\[
D(R) = D_z(R) + D_{xy}(R) \tag{4}
\]
where $D_x(R)$ is the fractal dimension of $R$ in the vertical direction and $D_{xy}(R)$ is the fractal dimension of $R$ in the horizontal plane $x-y$. This computation of fractal dimension also characterizes the spatial layout of the manifold as shown in Fig. 3(b), which induces error along the boundary of the target region because a cell may contain a mixture of croplands and noncroplands.

To compute the fractal dimension of $R$ in 3-D space, the space is partitioned with cubes of scale $\epsilon$ in all dimensions, namely $\epsilon$-cube. The box dimension of manifold $R$ is computed following (3) [29]. The calculation of $B(\epsilon; R)$ requires dividing the space with $\epsilon$-cube, and hence, we have

$$B(\epsilon; R) = \sum_{\epsilon^2 \in R} \left[ N^* - N_x \right]$$

(5)

where $N^*$ and $N_x$ denote the maximum and minimum values of the NDVI pixels in a cube. $\epsilon^2 \in R$ denotes the cube lands in the cropland region of the NDVI image. In practice, the noncropland results in zero count of $\epsilon$-boxes.

Note that the image size and the image color depth are not necessarily of the same scale. The $\epsilon$-cube could be uneven on its sides. For instance, we can have a cube of $\epsilon \times \epsilon \times \epsilon'$, where $\epsilon \neq \epsilon'$. However, the algorithm for calculating the fractal dimension remains unchanged. For simplicity, we use $\epsilon$-cube of equal sides in the rest of our discussion.

To compute $D_{xy}(R)$ in (4), $\epsilon$-box is used to fill the manifold $R$ in $x-y$ plane. The algorithm follows the same idea as the one used for computing $D(R)$. Hence, the fractal dimension of $R$ in the vertical direction, i.e., $D_x(R)$, is the difference of $D(R)$ and $D_{xy}(R)$.

However, to characterize the texture of croplands, each cell (i.e., an NDVI pixel) contains only the measurements of crops in the ideal case. That is, the texture feature of the cropland is independent from the shape of the field. Hence, the computation of fractal dimension shall not account for the irregularity of the region of study.

Let $D_\perp$ denote the fractal dimension of the manifold (i.e., the croplands in an NDVI image) that spans the entire field of view as shown in Fig. 3(c). $D_\perp$ is, hence, proportional to $D(R)$ with an addition that fills the voids in the non-cropland areas [i.e., the cells without texture in Fig. 3(b)]. Such addition can be approximated with the difference between two dimension and the fractal dimension of manifold $R$ in $x-y$ plane

$$D_\perp(R) \propto D(R) + (d - D_{xy}(R))$$

(6)

where $d$ is the full dimension of the subspace $x-y$.

$D_\perp$ inherits the scale invariant property of box-counting dimension. Furthermore, it enables computing the fractal dimension of objects with irregular spatial region in images, and allows to characterize the phenology changes of corn crop.

III. EXPERIMENTAL STUDY

A. Datasets

Corn is the most widely grown grain crop in the United States. NDVI data have been acquired in three primary corn-producing districts in the U.S. Corn Belt, namely the states of Iowa (IA), Illinois (IL), and Nebraska (NE). The geographical locations are shown in Fig. 4. The three states occupy approximately 39.7% of the total U.S. corn harvested area in 2013. In our experiments, two types of NDVI products are derived from MODIS and SPOT-VGT [30], [31] sensors. These two datasets present a tradeoff between spatial and temporal resolutions [32]–[34]. The two products are briefly described as follows:

1) MODIS NDVI Time Series is derived from the atmospherically corrected MODIS MOD09GQ (MODIS Surface Reflectance Daily L2G Global 250 m) products at a spatial resolution of 250 m. The dataset is publicly available and distributed through the Vegetation Condition Explorer [35]. The daily MODIS NDVI was subsequently combined into the 10-day MODIS NDVI via the maximum-value composite (MVC) method [36]. The operation is considered with respect to two objectives, i.e., first, to minimize the effects of clouds and atmospheric constituents [37], and second, to maintain consistency with the SPOT-VGT dataset in terms of the temporal resolution. The periods of the compositing process are defined according to the calendar dates, i.e., from the 1st to the 10th, from the 11th to the 20th, and from the 21st to the end of each month.

2) SPOT-VGT NDVI Time Series is extracted from the SPOT-VGT S10 products at a spatial resolution of 1 km [38]. The S10 represents a 10-day global synthesis composited via the MVC method. The raw S10 products are provided in “Plate Carree projection,” covering all of Central America. Postprocessing includes reprojection into the “USA Contiguous Albers Equal Area Conic projection (USGS version)” [24], and geographically clipped into regional subsets [39]. Each year from 2002 to 2011, 36 S10 datasets are acquired for this study from January 1 to December 21.

Fig. 5 illustrates examples of NDVI images derived from MODIS imagery and SPOT-VGT imagery. As we increase the thresholds for corn proportion, the available pixels (i.e., the pixels in gray) for calculating fractal dimension of corn fields decrease significantly. An extreme case is shown in Fig. 5(c), in which very few pixels remain for the fractal dimension computation.

B. Evaluation Criteria

In our analysis, a similarity metric for time series and a stability measure on the key phenology under diverse data acquisition
conditions were employed to evaluate the performance of our method. The rest of this section gives details on these metrics.

1) Similarity Metric for Time Series: A metric that measures the time-series similarities is critical to the evaluation of fractal dimension. In this study, we used cosine similarity [40] to measure the similarity of time series derived from diverse data acquisition conditions (e.g., difference in sensor, spatial resolution, and level of pixel mixing). The cosine similarity (a.k.a. spectral, angle mapper [41]) has been widely used in hyperspectral remote sensing to measure spectral similarity of hyperspectral data. The similarity of time series derived from diverse data acquisition is given by

$$\rho(x, y) = \cos \left( \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\|x\| \|y\|} \right)$$

where $$\langle \cdot \rangle$$ denotes the inner product and $$\| \cdot \|$$ computes the norm of the vector. Because $$x$$ and $$y$$ are positive, $$\Theta(x, y)$$ is in [0, 90°]. $$\Theta(x, y)$$ is proportional to the angle between two vectors $$x$$ and $$y$$, i.e., the time series. The closer the cosine is to zero, the more similar the series are.

2) Stability Metric: The time series of fractal dimension is bimodal in the life cycle of corn crop. The first peak indicates the harvested stage of corn and the second peak indicates the emerged stage of corn [11]. At the emerged stage, the corn leaf canopy shows increasing density. The soil background (depicted low NDVI value) and green corn leaves (depicted high NDVI value) are mixed together, which increase the roughness of the NDVI image. A similar situation occurs during the corn harvest period when corns are usually gathered from the field in days or longer. The roughness of NDVI image texture increases because certain fields are harvested and the others are not. The mixture of bare soil and the remaining leaves and stalks also increase the roughness of the NDVI image [11]. In this study, the harvested stage was not considered in the stability measurement, mainly because it belongs to the developmental stage and is more susceptible to interference by unconventional farming practices.

To detect the first peak in the annual time series, Timesat [42] was used in our study. The tool was designed to process time series data, in which an asymmetric double-Gaussian distribution [43] was used to portray the seasonal growth and decline curves of the corn crop, and to estimate the phenological parameters. Parameters were preliminarily set as a bimodal distribution together with approximate timing of the growing seasons. Timesat can reduce biased noise (in the MVC processed data), due to interference of clouds and atmospheric constituents. The asymmetric double-Gaussian distribution $$g(t)$$ is given by

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} \exp \left( -\left( \frac{t-a}{b_1} \right)^2 \right), & \text{for } t > a \\ \exp \left( -\left( \frac{t-a}{b_2} \right)^2 \right), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $$a$$ determines the position of the maximum or minimum with respect to the independent time variable $$t$$, $$b_1$$ and $$b_2$$ determine the width of the function for the right half and left half, respectively, and $$c_1$$ and $$c_2$$ determine the kurtosis of the distribution. The details of the algorithm can be found in [43].

The stability of time series was assessed with the erroneous detection of the emerged stage and the frequency of missed peaks in the time series. The relative and absolute errors are evaluated following the root mean square error (RMSE) as follows:

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n}(\hat{d}_{t,i,j} - d_{t,i,j})^2}{n}}$$

where $$\hat{d}$$ is the detected day of the emerged stage, $$n$$ is the total number of cases, and $$d$$ denotes the reference day. For the absolute RMSE, $$\hat{d}$$ is the ground truth of the emerged stage; for the relative RMSE, $$\hat{d}$$ is the average day of the predictions using different input data and features. To compute RMSE, we aggregate all cases of the study sites (denoted with $$i$$), cropland masking result (denoted with $$j$$), and years (denoted with $$t$$).

There are cases when the first peak was missed, which happens particularly when the number of corn pixels is small and there are strong noise. Hence, we used the number of failed detection as a metric to evaluate the robustness of fractal dimension on characterizing corn phenology.

C. Texture Features

In addition to the fractal dimension, we include widely used texture measures in our evaluation. The most commonly used measures of statistics-based texture descriptors include first-order (occurrence) statistics and second-order (cooccurrence) statistics [44], [45]. The first-order statistics measures assess the spectral properties of individual pixel values without account for the spatial relations among image pixels; whereas the second-order statistics take the spatial distribution of spectral values into account.

Texture measures of variance and entropy derived from remote sensing images have been used to characterize phenological variation [44]. The time series of variance and entropy represents a bimodal distribution throughout the corn life cycle, which is similar to the fractal dimension time series. Although the fractal dimension, variance and entropy can be used to indicate the heterogeneity of image texture, they place particular emphasis on different aspects. The variance measures the dispersion of an image or ROI around the mean intensity value, and entropy is a measure of disorder or complexity. Both variance

![Fig. 5. NDVI images derived from MODIS and SPOT-VGT using three thresholds. (a) MODIS NDVI ($\rho \approx 0\%$), (b) MODIS NDVI ($\rho = 50\%$), (c) MODIS NDVI ($\rho \approx 100\%$), (d) SPOT-VGT NDVI ($\rho \approx 0\%$), (e) SPOT-VGT NDVI ($\rho = 50\%$), (f) SPOT-VGT NDVI ($\rho \approx 100\%$).]
and entropy can be further calculated with first- and second-order statistics.

First-order statistics are derived from the histogram of an image or ROI. The first-order variance (denoted by $V_{1st}$) describes the deviation of intensity from the mean. The first-order entropy (denoted by $E_{1st}$) is a measure of histogram homogeneity. $V_{1st}$ and $E_{1st}$ are computed as follows:

$$V_{1st} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$E_{1st} = - \sum_{g=1}^{G} P(g) \log P(g)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j$; $N$ and $G$ are the number of pixels and distinct gray levels in the entire image or ROI, respectively; $x_j$ is the gray value of pixel $j$; and $P(g)$ is the probability of occurrence of gray-level $g$.

Second-order statistics are derived from the gray-level cooccurrence matrix (GLCM). The GLCM is a symmetric $G \times G$ matrix, where $G$ is the gray level. The matrix acts as an accumulator such that $P(i,j)$ is the number of occurrences of the pair of gray levels $i$ and $j$. Pixel pairs are defined by a displacement vector $d = (\Delta x, \Delta y)$ covering the orientation and offset. Four typical displacement vectors with one neighboring pixel are $(0,1), (-1,1), (-1,0),$ and $(-1,-1)$. These vectors correspond to orientations of $0^\circ$ (horizontal), $45^\circ$ (right diagonal), $90^\circ$ (vertical), and $135^\circ$ (left diagonal), respectively. Mathematically, a normalized occurrence matrix $P$ over an $n$ by $m$ image $I$ is given by

$$P_d(i,j) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p=1}^{m} \sum_{q=1}^{n} \delta(p,q) \text{, and}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

$$\delta(p,q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } I(p,q) = i \text{ and } I(p+\Delta x, q+\Delta y) = j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $(p,q)$ is the pixel coordinate in the image; $\theta$ is the angle of neighboring pixels, i.e., $\theta = 0^\circ, 45^\circ, 90^\circ,$ and $135^\circ$; and $N$ is the total count of cooccurring gray-level pairs, i.e., $N = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} P_d(i,j)$. The second-order variance $V_{2nd}$ and entropy $E_{2nd}$ can be formulated based on the GLCM as follows:

$$V_{2nd} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \frac{(i - \mu_x)^2 + (j - \mu_y)^2}{2} P_d(i,j)$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

$$E_{2nd} = - \sum_{i} \sum_{j} P_d(i,j) \log P_d(i,j)$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $\mu_x = \sum_{i} iP(i,j)$ and $\mu_y = \sum_{j} jP(i,j)$. Complex textures tend to have high entropy. If the frequencies of occurrence in the cooccurrence matrix are equally scattered over the matrix, high values of entropy can be expected. This uniform scattering occurs when the largest spread of different pixel intensity occurs in the spectral image.

## IV. Results and Discussion

### A. A Phenology View From the Time Series of Features

In this study, a total of 11 features extracted from remote sensing images were employed to characterize corn phenology, which include fractal dimension (FD), first-order variance ($V_{1st}$), and entropy ($E_{1st}$), and second-order variance ($V_{2nd}$) and entropy ($E_{2nd}$) in four directions. The corresponding time series of the 11 features of Iowa state in 2011 are illustrated in Fig. 6. Each panel contains results from five cropland masked images. Using different degree of pixel mixing in cropland masking (i.e., the thresholds in Table I), following five cases can be derived from the MODIS and SPOT-VGI data:

1. $M_p$: MODIS data and corn-presence;
2. $M_f$: MODIS data and partial mixing;
3. $M_c$: MODIS data and corn dominated;
4. $S_p$: SPOT data and corn-presence;
5. $S_f$: SPOT data and partial mixing.

Fig. 6 illustrates the time series of features using different cropland masked NDVI data. The time series exhibits a bimodal distribution. The first peak represents the emerged stage of corn crop, and the second peak represents the harvested stage. As shown in Fig. 6(c), the first-order entropy of $S_p$ is more uniform than that of $M_p$, mainly because the distribution of GLCM of $S_p$ was more uniform than that of $M_p$. This figure also shows that the SPOT-VGT imagery of corn crop contains more noise than that of the MODIS sensor under the condition of corn-presence pixel. However, if only the neighbor pixels were considered, the second-order entropy were lower than the first-order entropy as a whole [see Fig. 6(h)–(k)]. The planting dates of corn crop were inconsistent across different fields over an entire state, which resulted in greater first-order entropy.
In our results, time series of variance differ greatly, especially around the two peaks [as shown in Fig. 6(b) and (d)–(g)]. For instance, in Fig. 6(b), the curve of $S_g$ dramatically deviates from the other curves. In contrast, the time series of fractal dimension [as shown in Fig. 6(a)] depict great similarity, i.e., the peaks are well aligned, which indicates superior consistency and robustness to image properties (e.g., spectral characteristics, spatial resolution, and the degree of pixel mixing).

B. Similarity of the Time Series of Features

In this study, we conducted a pairwise comparison among the time series from the cropland masked NDVI images using cosine similarity metric shown in (7). Given the five cropland masked NDVI images, the possible number of feature pairs is ten. Yet, comparisons of some pairs are redundant. For instance, the similarity of $M_g$ and $S_g$ can be derived from the pairs of $M_c$ and $M_p$, and $M_p$ and $M_g$. Therefore, comparisons of five pairs were conducted, which include $M_c$ and $M_p$, $M_p$ and $M_g$, $S_p$ and $S_g$, $M_p$ and $S_p$, and $M_g$ and $S_g$. The quantitative measurements of similarity are illustrated in a polar coordinate system in Fig. 7. Because the texture of corn fields is mostly isotropic, only results of horizontal direction for the second-order variance and the second-order entropy are shown. The evaluation of cosine similarity covers the three states over the 10-year period from 2002 to 2012.

For the fractal dimension depicted in Fig. 7(e), the discrepancy pertaining to the similarity between $M_g$ and $S_g$ is distinct over the three states. High similarity is primarily in the results of Illinois, and low similarity is noted in the results of Iowa. The first- and second-order entropy trends are similar to the fractal dimension [see Fig. 7(b) and (d)]. In contrast, low similarity of the first- and second-order variance appears in Nebraska [see Fig. 7(a) and (c)]. The similarity between $M_g$ and $S_g$ is relatively unstable because: 1) both $M_g$ and $S_g$ show full pixel mixing, and the corresponding image differs in spatial
resolution (the effects of mixed pixels on each image are not the same and show uncertainty in the time series); and 2) they are derived from different sensors; thus, the spectral characteristics and spatial resolution inevitably affect the measurement of NDVI, and the effects on the pair are greater than those on other pairs.

As shown in Fig. 7(e), the highest similarity is observed for the pair $M_{p}$ and $M_{g}$, followed by the pair $M_{c}$ and $M_{p}$. However, the curves for the pairs $M_{p}$ and $M_{g}$ and $M_{c}$ and $M_{p}$ can be clearly distinguished as having been derived from the fractal dimension, but the curves for other features are not clear. This result was obtained because the fractal dimension, entropy, and variance were estimated by different spatial statistical methods. Entropy and variance indicate the statistical variation in the gray-level globally or within a certain directional space within a neighborhood. In this study, the fractal dimension considers the difference in gray level within a given neighborhood on different scales. In contrast to the first- and second-order entropy, the spectral characteristics of different imaging devices affect the fractal dimension to a lower extent than the spatial resolution and mixed pixels do. In general, the maximum of the similarity of the fractal dimension is 0.88, which is greater than that of the variance (either first- or second-order variance) and is comparable to that of the entropy (either first- or second-order entropy).

C. Consistency of the Detected Phenology Stage

The first peak of fractal dimension time series corresponds to the emerged stage, a key phenology of corn crop. Successful detection of the first peak is an important indicator of the performance on characterizing corn phenology. Fig. 8 shows the accuracy of the first peak detection using the derived features. The $x$-axis is the state-year combination and the $y$-axis presents the day of year. The columns depict the average day of the detected emerged stage and the error bars show the corresponding standard deviation. (a) First-order variance ($V_{1st}$). (b) First-order entropy ($E_{1st}$). (c) Second-order variance (horizontal) ($V_{2nd}$). (d) Second-order entropy (horizontal) ($E_{2nd}$). (e) Fractal dimension.
Specific case of cropland masked images is taken into calculation of mean and standard deviation. For example, if the first peaks of $S_g$ and $S_p$ for the first-order entropy were undetectable in the year 2002 in Nebraska, then these two derived features are excluded in the mean and standard deviation calculation.

Among the five cases shown in Fig. 8, fractal dimension exhibits the smallest divergence, which implies the best consistency. The fractal dimension and entropy are better than the variance. Moreover, for the first-order variance, the earliest date of mean emerged stage appears on the 77th day of 2008 in Illinois, while the latest is the 193rd day of 2009 in Nebraska. The difference between the earliest and latest corn emerged dates is up to 116 days, which is severely inconsistent to the actual agricultural production. Similarly, the high standard deviation appeared in the second-order variance.

Table II lists the number of the undetected peaks and the corresponding relative RMSE of each feature in all states and years. The undetected peaks appear to be concentrated in the dataset pertaining to the state of Nebraska. The undetected peaks of the fractal dimension occur in the time series derived from the SPOT-VGT sensor with corn-presence pixels (i.e., $S_g$). Non-crop covers such as natural forests are the inevitable factors that resulted in the misdetection. Although Nebraska ranks high in terms of cropland coverage in the Corn Belt, cropland pixels in Nebraska are greatly mixed with natural vegetation in contrast to that of Iowa and Illinois. As the degree of pixel-mixing increases, the corn phenology becomes more susceptible to the effects of other crops. The most serious case is the disappearing of bimodal distribution in the interannual time series. It is evident that the fractal dimension is the lowest for both the number of undetected peaks and the relative RMSE compared to other features.

Table II shows that the frequency of the missed peaks of the fractal dimension are concentrated in the time series derived from the SPOT-VGT sensor with the most mixed pixels (i.e., $S_g$). The spatial resolution of MODIS is 250 m, and that of SPOT-VGT is 1 km. According to measurements on Google Earth, the average size of a crop field is 1 km, which means that a MODIS pixel covers one-sixteenth of a crop field and SPOT-VGT covers only one field. The fractal dimension derived from $M_g$ is more heterogeneous than that derived from $S_g$ (see Fig. 7). In relative terms, the SPOT-VGT pixels are more susceptible to the interference of mixed pixels than the MODIS. $S_g$ of

![Fractal dimension time series of corn-dominated MODIS 250-m data and its downsamped 500-m, 750-m and 1-km data. (a) State of Iowa. (b) State of Illinois. (c) State of Nebraska.](image)

**Table II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FD</th>
<th>$V_{1st}$</th>
<th>$V_{2nd}$</th>
<th>$V_{45}$</th>
<th>$V_{90}$</th>
<th>$V_{135}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undetected peaks</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative RMSE</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute RMSE</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The unit of RMSE error is day.

SPOT-VGT introduces more heterogeneous pixels than other combinations, e.g., $M_g$, $M_p$, $M_c$, and $S_p$. According to the Nyquist theorem, the sampling rate should be more than twice the highest-frequency component of the signal that is measured. Thus, the MODIS achieved better results than SPOT-VGT in crop analysis.

We also assessed the absolute RMSE of the first peak characterized by 11 diverse features. NASS’s crop progress reports (CPRs) were regarded as the ground truth. The CPRs record the percent complete (area ratio) of crop fields that has either reached or completed a specific progress stage over a specific administrative unit. The dataset is distributed through NASS’s “Quick Stats 2.0” service [46]. In this study, 50% completion of corn emerged progress indicates the emerged stage, and the piecewise cubic Hermite interpolating polynomial algorithm was employed to interpolate the crop progress observations [47]. The details of the data processing can be found in [11] and [48]. The absolute accuracy is presented Table II. It evident that the fractal dimension yielded the lowest absolute RMSE compared to that of the other features.

Our proposed algorithm eliminates the effect of irregular boundary shapes and provides a fractal dimension estimation.
method for NDVI images. The error is primarily caused by overcounting or undercounting the number of boxes. Generally, undercounting occurred on a small scale, and overcounting occurred on a large scale if there is a uniform intensity area [49]. However, there are no true fractals in nature, i.e., in applying the mathematical theory of “exact” fractals to the “approximate” fractals of nature, errors are inevitable. Despite these limitations, the fractal dimension provides a critical and reliable measure for studying phenological patterns.

D. Sensitivity to Spatial Resolution

The spatial resolution is an important practical issue in real-world applications. To analyze the effect of the spatial resolution, we conducted experiments using MODIS images at four spatial resolutions. To simulate the coarse resolutions, we downsampled the MODIS with a pixel resolution at 250–500-m, 750-m, and 1-km resolutions. The downsampling keeps every \( i \)th sample, where \( i = 2, 3, 4 \), to create a lower resolution image at 500 m, 750 m, and 1 km, respectively, in this study.

In our experiments, the degree of pixel mixing is 50%. Fig. 9 illustrates the time series of fractal dimension from 2002 to 2011 in the three states. Each plot consists of four curves that correspond to the aforementioned four spatial resolutions. The time series are normalized to have zero mean and unit standard deviation. It is clear that the curves are mostly overlapping for each state over a period of 10 years across three states despite the trivial amplitude difference at the peaks. It is evident that the time series of fractal dimension from images of various spatial resolution are very similar. This implies that the fractal dimension is mostly invariant to the change of image spatial resolution.

V. CONCLUSION

Fractal dimension-based methods for phenology analysis have demonstrated competitive effectiveness to per-pixel methods [35]. A major challenge faced by the computation of fractal dimension, however, is dealing with regions with irregular ROI. In this paper, we propose a method of estimating fractal dimension. Our method excludes volumes from noncubical space in the computation of fractal dimension and makes it independent from size and shape of the ROIs of interest. Hence, the computation of fractal dimension minimizes the bias from spatial irregularity that is common in real-world applications. Evaluation was conducted with NDVI products derived from MODIS and SPOT-VGT imagery from three states in the USA. These datasets present a tradeoff between spatial and temporal resolutions. Our method exhibited consistent performance with respect to spectral characteristics, spatial resolution, and degree of pixel mixing.

Our experimental results using the time series demonstrated that fractal dimension depicts great consistency and invariance to the change of image properties including spectral characteristics, spatial resolution, and the degree of pixel mixing. Fractal dimension considers the difference in gray level within a given neighborhood on different scales. In contrast to entropy, the spectral characteristics of different imaging devices exhibited lower impact to the fractal dimension, which implies its scale invariance. In general, the maximum of the similarity of the fractal dimension is 0.88, which is greater than that of the variance and is comparable to that of the entropy. With respect to the detection rate of the first peak, fractal dimension exhibits the smallest divergence, which implies the best consistency. It is evident that the fractal dimension yielded the lowest number of undetected peaks and the RMSE (relative and absolute) compared to other features. In summary, our proposed method for computing fractal dimension provides a reliable measure for studying phenology and improves the accuracy of crop phenology detection.

Our proposed fractal dimension estimation method is of interest to the communities of image processing and remote sensing, which provides a novel method for characterizing texture and can also be used in image segmentation, object tracking, etc. It presents a new dimension of feature for various ground objects. With our proposed method, the limitation of employing irregular ROI is mostly circumvented, which facilitates feature extraction with a greater degree of accuracy. The results are also beneficial to practitioners in agriculture and officials of land-use planning. In our future work, we plan to extend our proposed method and evaluate it in applications such as land cover classification.
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